

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/672,735	KMIEC ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sarae Bausch	1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sarae Bausch.

(3) _____.

(2) Pamela Politis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 6, 34, and 36-41

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to cancel claims 6, 34, 36-41. Claims 6 and 36-41 were previously withdrawn claims drawn to a non-elected invention and claim 34 lacked antecedent basis. Applicants representative agreed to cancel the withdrawn claims as well as claim 34

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)